

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
REQUEST FOR FILING NATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION

Under 35 USC 111(a) and Rule 53(b)

PATENT APPLICATION

Asst. Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

WITH SIGNED DECLARATION

NONPROVISIONAL
NON REISSUE
NON PCT NAT PHASE

Sir:

Herewith is the PATENT APPLICATION of
Inventor(s): NISHIMURA et al.

Atty. Dkt.: PM 265035 | ND-G326-US
M# | Client Ref

Title HONEYCOMB STRUCTURAL BODY

including:

Date: November 22, 1999

1. Specification: 12 pages (only spec. and claims) 2. ☐ Specification in non-English language
3. Declaration ☒ Original ☐ Facsimile/Copy ☒ Abstract 1 page(s); 6 numbered claims
4. ☒ Drawings: 6 sheet(s) ☐ informal; ☒ formal of size: ☒ A4 ☐ 11"
5. ☐ See top first page re prior Provisional, National or International application(s). ("X" box only if info is there and do not complete corresponding item 6 or 7). (Prior M# _____ SN _____)

6. **AMEND the specification** please by inserting before the first line: -- This is a ☐ Continuation-in-Part
☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation ☐ Substitute Application (MPEP 201.09) of:

6(a) ☐ National Appln. No. _____ / _____ filed _____ (M# _____)
6(b) ☐ International Appln. No. _____ filed _____

7. ☐ **AMEND the specification** by inserting before the first line: -- This application claims the benefit of U.S.
Provisional Application No. 60/ _____, filed _____

8. ☒ Attached is an assignment and cover sheet. Please return the recorded assignment to the undersigned.

9. ☐ Prior application is assigned to _____

by Assignment recorded _____ Reel _____ Frame _____

10. **FOREIGN** priority is claimed under 35 USC 119(a)-(d)/365(b) based on filing in Japan

11. _____ (country)

Application No.	Filing Date	Application No.	Filing Date
(1) 10-339526	November 30, 1998	(2) 11-289715	October 12, 1999
(3)		(4)	
(5)		(6)	
(7)		(8)	
(9)		(10)	

12. _____ (No.) Certified copy (copies): ☐ attached; ☐ previously filed (date) _____
in U.S. Application No. _____ / _____ filed on _____

13. ☐ Attached: _____ (No.) Verified Statement(s) establishing "small entity" status under Rules 9 & 27.
14. **DOMESTIC/INTERNATIONAL** priority is claimed under 35 USC 119(e)/120/365(c) based on the following provisional, nonprovisional and/or PCT international application(s):

Application No.	Filing Date	Application No.	Filing Date
(1)		(4)	
(2)		(5)	
(3)		(6)	

15. ☐ This application is being filed under Rule 53(b)(2) since an inventor is named in the enclosed Declaration who was not named in the prior application.
16. ☒ Attached: Form PTO-1449 and a copy of each cited reference
17. ☐ Preliminary Amendment:

THE FOLLOWING FILING FEE IS BASED ON CLAIMS AS FILED LESS ANY ABOVE CANCELLED

				Large/Small Entity		Fee Code
18. Basic Filing Fee				\$760/\$380	\$760	101/201
19. Total Effective Claims	6	minus 20 =	*0	x \$18/\$9 =	+ 0	103/203
20. Independent Claims	1	minus 3 =	*0	x \$78/\$39 =	+ 0	102/202
*If answer is zero or less, enter "0"						
21. If <u>any proper</u> multiple dependent claim (ignore improper) is present, add (Leave this line blank if this is a reissue application)				+ \$260/\$130	+ 0	104/204
22. TOTAL FILING FEE ENCLOSED =					\$760	
23. If "non-English" box 2 is X'd, add Rule 17(k) processing fee				+ \$130	+ 0	139
24. If "assignment" box 6 is X'd, add recording fee				+ \$40	+ 40	581
25. <input type="checkbox"/> Attached is a Petition/Fee under Rule No.				+ \$130	+ 0	122
26. TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED =					\$800	

Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975

Our Order No. 11349 C# 265035 M# 7

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficient fee only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account/Order Nos. shown above for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This **CHARGE STATEMENT** does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal form is filed.

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Ninth Floor, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005-3918
Tel: (202) 861-3000
TJK/nmw

By Atty: Timothy J. Klima
Sig: _____

Reg. No. 34852

Fax: (202) 822-0944
Tel: (202) 861-3662

NOTE: File in duplicate with 2 post card receipts (PAT-103) & attachments

APPLICATION UNDER UNITED STATES PATENT LAWS

Atty. Dkt. No. PM 265035
(M#)

Invention: **HONEYCOMB STRUCTURAL BODY**

Inventor(s): **Mamoru NISHIMURA**
Keiji ITO

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Ninth Floor, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005-3918
Attorneys
Telephone: (202) 861-3000

This is a:

- ☐ Provisional Application
- ☒ Regular Utility Application
- ☐ Continuing Application
- ☐ PCT National Phase Application
- ☐ Design Application
- ☐ Reissue Application
- ☐ Plant Application
- ☐ Substitute Specification
Sub. Spec. filed _____
in App. No. _____ / _____
- ☐ Marked Up Specification re
Sub. Spec. filed _____
in App. No. _____ / _____

SPECIFICATION

HONEYCOMB STRUCTURAL BODY

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 1. Field of the Invention

 The present invention relates to a honeycomb structural body, made of cordierite, which can be used as a catalyst carrier in an exhaust gas purification apparatus for an internal combustion engine and, particularly, to a honeycomb structural body with a high cell density.

10 2. Description of the Related Art

 Catalyst carriers for exhaust gas purification apparatuses have conventionally employed a honeycomb structural body 9 such as those shown in Figs. 6 and 7, wherein partition walls 90 made of cordierite or the like are arranged in a honeycomb fashion to form multiple cells 99. An exhaust gas purification function is exhibited by carrying an exhaust gas purifying catalyst 8 on the surface of the partition walls 90 of the honeycomb structural body 9.

 Incidentally, demands have been increasing in recent years for exhaust purification apparatuses with further improved purification functions and smaller sizes.

 In order to satisfy the two demands of improved purification function and smaller size, it is effective to raise the cell density (increase the number of cells/in²) of the honeycomb structural body, i.e. to increase the number of cells per unit area. This enlarges the cell surface area and increases the contact area between the exhaust gas and the catalyst.

 To exhibit an effect of increased cells/in² of the honeycomb structural body it is necessary to fully maintain the exhaust gas channel so that no pressure loss occurs. It is therefore essential to avoid clogging of the cells when the catalyst is loaded. However, in high-

cells/in² honeycomb structural bodies of 600 cells/in² or greater, cell clogging tends to occur, during catalyst loading, more readily than in conventional honeycomb structural bodies of low cells/in² (400 cells/in² or lower).

Loading of the catalyst is accomplished by coating the partition walls of the honeycomb structural body with a catalyst slurry, prepared using catalyst components, and drying it. The above-mentioned clogging tends to occur more easily with a higher solid concentration of the catalyst slurry (hereunder referred to as "slurry concentration"). Consideration has therefore been given to reducing the slurry concentration to prevent clogging. However, when it is simply attempted to achieve a low concentration for the catalyst slurry, too little catalyst is loaded by a single catalyst slurry application. It therefore becomes necessary to significantly increase the number of applications of the catalyst slurry, and this results in increased production cost.

The present invention, which has been accomplished in light of these circumstances, is aimed at providing a honeycomb structural body with a high cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater, and excellent loading properties of the catalyst.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a honeycomb structural body comprising a plurality of cells formed by providing partition walls composed mainly of cordierite, which has the chemical composition SiO₂: 45-55 wt%, Al₂O₃: 33-42 wt%, MgO: 12-18 wt%, in a honeycomb fashion,

the honeycomb structural body being characterized in that the cell density is at least 600 cells/in² and the pore volume of the partition walls is at least 30%.

The honeycomb structural body of the invention has a high cells/in², with a cell density of at least 600

cells/in², as stated above. If the cell density is less than 600 cells/in² the purification performance will not be significantly improved when the catalyst is loaded to construct the exhaust gas purification apparatus. A
5 higher cell density is more preferred, but limitations exist due to production technology, etc.

In order to achieve a higher cells/in², it is essential to reduce the thickness of the partition walls. Specifically, the partition wall thickness is preferably
10 no greater than 150 μ m. When it exceeds 150 μ m there is a risk of increased flow resistance (pressure loss) of the gas. The thickness is thus preferably no greater than 100 μ m.

The pore volume of the partition walls, that is, the proportion of the volume constituted by fine pores per
15 unit volume of the partition walls, is 30% or greater. If the pore volume is less than 30% there will be no improvement in the loading property of the catalyst, and it will require multiple repetitions of the step of
20 coating the catalyst slurry containing the catalyst components. On the other hand, if the pore volume is too high the strength of the partition walls will be low.

The pore volume is therefore preferably 35-80%, with an upper limit of 50% being preferred.

25 The function of the invention will now be explained.

As mentioned above, the honeycomb structural body of the invention has a high cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater and a partition wall pore volume of at least 30%. Thus, when the catalyst is loaded onto the partition
30 walls, it is possible to both prevent cell clogging and ensure the catalyst loading amount.

Specifically, by increasing the pore volume of the partition walls from the conventional average of 25% to at least 30%, the catalyst loading amount is greater than
35 that according to the prior art when a catalyst slurry of the same concentration is applied. It is thus possible to lower the slurry concentration for loading the same

amount of catalyst according to the prior art.

A lower slurry concentration can reduce occurrences of cell clogging.

Consequently, even when achieving a high cell
5 density of 600 cells/in² or greater, if the pore volume of the partition walls is 30% or greater as explained above it is possible to prevent occurrence of cell clogging while ensuring the same catalyst loading amount as with the prior art.

10 According to the invention, therefore, it is possible to provide a honeycomb structural body with a high cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater and with an excellent catalyst loading property.

The thickness of the partition walls is preferably
15 no greater than 80 μ m. This allows the pressure loss in the fluid passing through the partition walls to be drastically reduced.

The average roughness Rz of the surface of the
20 partition walls is preferably 1-5 μ m. An average roughness Rz outside of the range of 1-5 μ m can present the risk of lower adhesion of the catalyst to the partition walls. The reason for this is believed to be that the particle size of alumina powder used as a catalyst component is largely distributed in the range of
25 1-5 μ m.

The mean size of the fine pores formed inside the
partition walls is preferably 1-10 μ m. If the mean size of the fine pores is less than 1 μ m there will be a problem in that the alumina powder will not enter into
30 the fine pores and adhesion will thereby be reduced, while if it exceeds 10 μ m there will be a problem of reduced strength of the partition walls.

The honeycomb structural body may be a catalyst
carrier wherein a catalyst is loaded onto the surface of
35 the partition walls. The catalyst may be a ternary catalyst for purification of NOx, CO, HC, etc. Specific components include alumina powder, and mixtures of

platinum, palladium, etc. In this case, the honeycomb structural body may be applied as a catalyst carrier in a catalytic converter for purification of automobile exhaust gas, for example.

5 For loading of the catalyst, the catalyst slurry concentration conditions will differ depending on the catalyst components that are loaded, but as an example, it is preferably carried out by coating the partition walls with a catalyst slurry having a solid concentration
10 of no greater than 45%. If the solid concentration (slurry concentration) is greater than 45% a problem is presented of easier clogging in cells of 600 cells/in² or greater. On the other hand, if the slurry concentration is too low the catalyst loading amount is reduced, and
15 therefore the lower limit for the slurry concentration is preferably 40%.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a perspective view showing the construction of a honeycomb structural body according to
20 Embodiment 1.

Fig. 2 is a graph showing the relationship between cell density and the number of cell blockings for Embodiment 1.

Fig. 3 is a graph showing the relationship between slurry concentration and catalyst loading amount ratio for Embodiment 2.
25

Fig. 4 is a graph showing the relationship between partition wall thickness and purification performance ratio for Embodiment 3.

Fig. 5 is a graph showing the relationship between partition wall thickness and pressure loss ratio for Embodiment 3.
30

Fig. 6 is a perspective view showing the structure of a honeycomb structural body according to a prior art example.
35

Fig. 7 is a magnified view showing the catalyst-loaded state of the partition walls in the prior art

example.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention will be hereafter illustrated by the following Embodiments, it being understood that the Embodiments are presented only for a better understanding of the invention without implying any limitation thereof.

Embodiment 1

Honeycomb structural bodies according to an embodiment of the invention will now be explained with reference to Figs. 1 and 2.

For this embodiment, five different honeycomb structural bodies with different cell densities were fabricated, and their catalyst loading properties, etc. were compared. Four of the five bodies were products according to the invention (samples E1-E4), and one was a comparison product with a low cell density (sample C1).

Each of the present invention samples E1-E4 is, as shown in Fig. 1, a honeycomb structural body 1 comprising a plurality of cells 15 formed by providing partition walls 10 composed mainly of cordierite, which has the chemical composition SiO_2 : 45-55 wt%, Al_2O_3 : 33-42 wt%, MgO : 12-18 wt%, in a honeycomb fashion.

The density of the cells 15 is 600-1200 cells/in², and the pore volume of the partition walls 10 is 35%. The cells 15 of this embodiment have a square shape.

A method for production of the honeycomb structural bodies of this embodiment (samples E1-E4, C1) will now be explained.

First, a cordierite starting material was prepared as a material for the honeycomb structural body 1. The cordierite starting material used contained kaolin, aluminum hydroxide, alumina, talc, carbon particles, etc. The pore volume was adjusted by modifying the content of the carbon particles, kaolin, talc, aluminum hydroxide, etc.

The carbon particles are burned off during the firing, the kaolin and talc promote formation of pores by

migration during the firing reaction process and the aluminum hydroxide causes vaporization of water of crystallization in the material, thus allowing accelerated formation of fine pores.

5 After then kneading a prescribed amount of the cordierite starting material and water as a binder, the mixture is extrusion molded into a honeycomb shape using a honeycomb structural body-molding die. The extrusion
10 molded honeycomb-shaped intermediate material is then dried, cut to prescribed dimensions and fired. The firing was accomplished by raising the temperature to 1400°C at a temperature raising rate of about 1°C/min and maintaining that temperature for 5 hours, after which it
15 was gradually cooled to room temperature. The firing completed the honeycomb structural body 1.

 The resulting samples E1-E4, C4 all had dimensions of 103 mm in diameter and 108 mm in length, and had a partition wall thickness of 100 μm and a pore volume of 35%. An outer perimeter fortifying structure was also
20 employed having a high pore volume and a thicker partition wall around the perimeter to achieve the necessary strength. The fortifying range was within 3 cells from the outer perimeter to minimize gas resistance. The thickness of the fortified partition
25 walls was 150 μm . The cell densities of the samples were 400 cells/in² for C1, 600 cells/in² for E1, 800 cells/in² for E2, 1000 cells/in² for E3 and 1200 cells/in² for E4.

 The average roughness Rz of the surfaces of the partition walls 10 was adjusted to 1-5 μm for all the
30 bodies. The mean size of the fine pores formed in the partition walls 10 was adjusted to 1-10 μm for all the bodies.

 For this embodiment, each sample was then coated with a catalyst slurry each with a different slurry
35 concentration, and the number of clogs per cell was measured. The loaded catalyst was a ternary catalyst comprising platinum and palladium, and it was loaded onto

the partition walls 10 via alumina.

5 The catalyst slurry was prepared by adding water to the catalyst components to make a slurry. The catalyst slurry had the proportion of catalyst components (solid portion) to water adjusted to prepare four different conditions at 40, 45, 50 and 55%.

10 Each sample was immersed in a catalyst slurry of a different concentration held in a container, to coat of the surface of the partition walls with the catalyst slurry by dip coating. It was then dried and the number of clogs per cell was counted for each sample.

15 The measurement results are shown in Fig. 2. This graph has the cell density (cells/in²) on the horizontal axis and the number of cell blockings on the vertical axis.

20 As is clear from this graph, the number of cell blockings increased with higher cell density at all the slurry concentrations. However, when the slurry concentration was 45% or lower, no clogging occurred up to at least 600 cells/in², and no more than 4 blockings occurred even with higher cell density.

25 These results demonstrate that with a pore volume of 35% as in this embodiment, cell clogging can be almost completely prevented by limiting the slurry concentration to 45%.

Embodiment 2

30 For this embodiment, sample E1 of Embodiment 1 was used as a standard to fabricate samples C2 and E5 with different pore volumes, and the catalyst loading amount ratios of each were determined.

35 Sample C2 was a comparison product with the content of the carbon particles, etc. in the cordierite starting material changed to change the pore volume to 25%. Sample E5 was a product according to the invention with the content of the carbon particles, etc. in the cordierite starting material also changed to change the pore volume to 50%. The other conditions for these

samples C2 and E5 were the same as for sample E1 of Embodiment 1.

Samples E1, E5 and C2 were each coated once with catalyst slurry having a slurry concentration of 40-55%, and the ratio of the loaded catalyst amount (catalyst loading amount ratio) was determined for each. The catalyst loading amount ratio was calculated using 100 as the value for loading a catalyst slurry with a slurry concentration of 50% onto sample E1.

The measurement results are shown in Fig. 3. This graph has the slurry concentration (%) on the horizontal axis and the catalyst loading amount ratio on the vertical axis.

As is clear from this graph, the catalyst loading amount was greater with higher catalyst concentration at all of the pore volumes.

It can also be seen that, for the same catalyst concentration, a higher pore volume results in a greater catalyst loading amount.

Upon comparing the conventional product of sample C2 (pore volume: 25%) and the invention product of sample E1 (pore volume: 35%) in this graph, the loading amount is the same when coating the former with the catalyst slurry having a concentration of 55% and when coating the latter with the catalyst slurry having a concentration of 40%. These results demonstrate that increasing the pore volume from 25% to 35% makes it possible to reduce the slurry concentration by 15% to load the same amount of catalyst.

In other words, in a honeycomb structural body with a high cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater, a lower concentration of catalyst slurry may be used without increasing the number of coatings, by increasing the pore volume of the partition walls to at least 35%. The lower concentration of the catalyst slurry can prevent cell clogging, as demonstrated by Embodiment 1.

Embodiment 3

For this embodiment, catalyst-loaded honeycomb

structural bodies were fabricated having specifications equivalent to the invention product according to Embodiment 1, with the cell density changed within a range of 400-1200 cells/in² and the partition wall thickness changed within a range of 0.05-0.175 mm, and the exhaust gas purification performance and pressure loss were evaluated with respect to the cell density and partition wall thickness.

The prepared honeycomb structural bodies were of 5 different cell densities: 400, 600, 700, 800 and 1200 cells/in², and of 6 different partition wall thicknesses: 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15 and 0.175 mm, for a total of 30 types.

The pore volumes were all 35%, and all of the loaded catalysts were ternary catalysts.

The purification performance of these honeycomb structural bodies was evaluated in the following manner using a 2000 cc gasoline engine. First, the catalyst-loaded honeycomb structural body (hereunder referred to simply as the catalyst) was situated at a prescribed position in an exhaust pipe and the total emission of HC, CO and NOx was examined before and after it. The purification rate was determined as the value of the total emission after passage through the catalyst divided by the total emission before passage, and the purification performance ratio was evaluated as the proportion with respect to the purification rate for 400 cells/in², 0.175 mm wall thickness defined as 100.

The evaluation results are shown in Fig. 4. This graph shows the partition wall thickness (mm) on the horizontal axis and the purification performance ratio on the vertical axis.

As is clearly shown in this graph, a higher cell density and thinner partition walls result in improved purification performance. In particular, it is seen that a cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater can exhibit more excellent purification performance than 400

cells/in², regardless of the thickness of the partition walls.

5 The pressure loss in the honeycomb structural body was then evaluated by measuring the differential pressure across the catalyst situated in the engine exhaust pipe, and determining the pressure loss ratio as the proportion with respect to 100 as the differential pressure for 400 cells/in², 0.175 mm.

10 The evaluation results are shown in Fig. 5. This graph shows the partition wall thickness (mm) on the horizontal axis and the pressure loss ratio on the vertical axis.

15 As is clearly shown in this graph, a higher cell density and a thinner partition wall thickness results in lower pressure loss. In particular, it can be seen that when the cell density is 600 cells/in², more excellent pressure loss properties can be obtained than 400 cells/in² having a partition wall thickness of 175 μ m, by making the partition wall thickness at least no greater than 85 μ m.

20 Incidentally, all of the embodiments described above have cell shapes that are quadrilateral (square shapes), but similar results are achieved when the shape is changed to hexagonal.

CLAIMS

1. A honeycomb structural body comprising a plurality of cells formed by providing partition walls composed mainly of cordierite, which has the chemical composition SiO_2 : 45-55 wt%, Al_2O_3 : 33-42 wt%, MgO : 12-18 wt%, in a honeycomb fashion,

the honeycomb structural body being characterized in that said cell density is at least 600 cells/in² and the pore volume of said partition walls is at least 30%.

2. A honeycomb structural body according to claim 1, characterized in that the pore volume of said partition walls is 35-80%.

3. A honeycomb structural body according to claim 1, characterized in that the thickness of said partition walls is no greater than 80 μm .

4. A honeycomb structural body according to claim 1, characterized in that the average roughness R_z of the surface of said partition walls is 1-5 μm .

5. A honeycomb structural body according to claim 1, characterized in that the mean size of the fine pores formed inside said partition walls is 1-10 μm .

6. A honeycomb structural body according to claim 1, characterized in that said honeycomb structural body is a catalyst carrier having a catalyst loaded on the surface of said partition walls.

HONEYCOMB STRUCTURAL BODY

5

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

There is provided a honeycomb structural body with a high cell density of 600 cells/in² or greater and excellent catalyst loading properties. In a honeycomb structural body 1 comprising a plurality of cells formed by providing partition walls 10 composed mainly of cordierite, which has the chemical composition SiO₂: 45-55 wt%, Al₂O₃: 33-42 wt%, MgO: 12-18 wt%, in a honeycomb fashion, the density of the cells 15 is at least 600 cells/in² and the pore volume of the partition walls 10 is at least 30%.

Fig. 1




Fig.2




Fig. 3





Fig. 4




Fig.5




Fig.6





Fig.7



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application

特許出願宣言書及び委任状

Japanese Language Declaration

日本語宣言書

下記の氏名の発明者として、私は以下の通り宣言します。

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

私の住所、私書箱、国籍は下記の私の氏名の後に記載された通りです。

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name.

下記の名称の発明に関して請求範囲に記載され、特許出願している発明内容について、私が最初かつ唯一の発明者（下記の氏名が一つの場合）もしくは最初かつ共同発明者であると（下記の名称が複数の場合）信じています。

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

HONEYCOMB STRUCTURAL BODY

上記発明の明細書（下記の欄でx印がついていない場合は、本書に添付）は、

the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

☐ 月 日に提出され、米国出願番号または特許協定条約
国際出願番号を _____ とし、
(該当する場合) _____ に訂正されました。☐ was filed on _____
as United States Application Number or
PCT International Application Number
_____ and was amended on
_____ (if applicable).

私は、特許請求範囲を含む上記訂正後の明細書を検討し、内容を理解していることをここに表明します。

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

私は、連邦規則法典第37編第1条56項に定義されるとおり、特許資格の有無について重要な情報を開示する義務があることを認めます。

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Japanese Language Declaration (日本語宣言書)

私は、米国法典第35編119条(a)-(d)項又は365条(b)項に基づき下記の、米 国以外の国の少なくとも一カ国を指定している特許協力条約365(a)項に基づき国際出願、又は外国での特許出願もしくは発明者証の出願についての外国優先権をここに主張するとともに、優先権を主張している、本出願の前に出願された特許または発明者証の外国出願を以下に、枠内をマークすることで、示しています。

Prior Foreign Application(s)

外国での先行出願

10-339526 (Pat. Appln.) Japan

(Number)

(Country)

(番号)

(国名)

11-289715 (Pat. Appln.) Japan

(Number)

(Country)

(番号)

(国名)

私は、第35編米国法典119条(e)項に基づいて下記の米 国特許出願規定に記載された権利をここに主張いたします。

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

(出願番号)

(出願日)

私は、下記の米国法典第35編120条に基づいて下記の米 国特許出願に記載された権利、又は米 国を指定している特許協力条約365条(c)に基づき権利をここに主張します。また、本出願の各請求範囲の内容が米国法典第35編112条第1項又は特許協力条約で規定された方法で先行する米 国特許出願に開示されていない限り、その先行米 国出願書提出日以降で本出願書の日本国内または特許協力条約国際提出日までの期間中に入手された、連邦規則法典第37編1条56項で定義された特許資格の有無に関する重要な情報について開示義務があることを認識しています。

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

(出願番号)

(出願日)

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

(出願番号)

(出願日)

私は、私自身の知識に基づいて本宣言書で私が行なう表明が真実であり、かつ私の入手した情報と私の信じることに基づき表明が全て真実であると信じていること、さらに故意になされた虚偽の表明及びそれと同等の行為は米国法典第18編1001条に基づき、罰金または拘禁、もしくはその両方により処罰されること、そしてそのような故意による虚偽の表明を行えば、出願した、又は既に許可された特許の有効性が失われることを認識し、よってここに上記のごとく宣誓を致します。

I hereby claim foreign priority under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 (a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Priority Not Claimed

優先権主張なし

30/November/1998

(Day/Month/Year Filed)

(出願年月日)

12/October/1999

(Day/Month/Year Filed)

(出願年月日)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

(出願番号)

(出願日)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of application.

(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Japanese Language Declaration

(日本語宣言書)

委任状: 私は下記の発明者として、本出願に関する一切の
手続を米特許商標局に対して遂行する弁護士または代理人
として、下記の者を指名いたします。(弁護士、または代理
人の氏名及び登録番号を明記のこと)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint
the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this
application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark
Office connected therewith (list name and registration number)

And I hereby appoint Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP, Intellectual Property Group, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Ninth Floor, East Tower, Washington, D.C. 20005-3918, telephone number (202) 861-3000 (to whom all communications are to be directed), and the below-named persons (of the same address) individually and collectively my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent, and I hereby authorize them to delete names/numbers below of persons no longer with their firm and to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct the above Firm and/or a below attorney in writing to the contrary.

Paul N. Kokulis	16773	David W. Brinkman	20817	Paul E. White, Jr.	32011	Stephen C. Glazier	31361
Raymond F. Lippitt	17519	George M. Sirilla	18221	Michelle N. Lester	32331	Paul F. McQuade	31542
G. Lloyd Knight	17698	Donald J. Bird	25323			Ruth N. Morduch	31044
Carl G. Love	18781		25647	G. Paul Edgell	24238	Richard H. Zaitlen	27248
Edgar H. Martin	20534	Peter W. Gowdey	25872	Lynn E. Eccleston	35861	Roger R. Wise	31204
William K. West, Jr.	22057	Dale S. Lazar	28872	David A. Jakopin	32995		
Kevin E. Joyce	20508	Glenn J. Perry	28458	Mark G. Paulson	30793		
		Kendrew H. Colton	30368	Timothy J. Klima	34852		

第一または第一発明者名	Full name of sole or first inventor
	Mamoru Nishimura
発明者の署名	Inventor's signature
日付	Date
	Mamoru Nishimura November 11, 1999
住所	Residence
	NAGOYA-CITY AICHI-PREF. JAPAN
国籍	Citizenship
	Japanese
私書箱	Post Office Address
	c/o DENSO CORPORATION, 1-1 SHOWA-CHO
	KARIYA-CITY AICHI-PREF. 448-8661 JAPAN
第二共同発明者	Full name of second joint inventor, if any
	Keiji Ito
第二共同発明者	Second inventor's signature
日付	Date
	Keiji Ito November 11, 1999
住所	Residence
	NAGOYA-CITY AICHI-PREF. JAPAN
国籍	Citizenship
	Japanese
私書箱	Post Office Address
	c/o DENSO CORPORATION, 1-1 SHOWA-CHO
	KARIYA-CITY AICHI-PREF. 448-8661 JAPAN

(第三以降の共同発明者についても同様に記載し、署名をす
ること)

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent
joint inventors.)